The Koala and its Retroviruses: Implications for Sustainability and Survival

edited by

Geoffrey W. Pye, Rebecca N. Johnson, and Alex D. Greenwood

Preface	Pye, Johnson, & Greenwood	1
A novel exogenous retrovirus	Eiden	3
KoRV and other endogenous retrovirus	es Roca & Greenwood	5
Molecular biology and evolution of Kol	RV Greenwood & Roca	11
Prevalence of KoRV	. Meers, Simmons, Jones, Clarke, & Young	15
Disease in wild koalas	Hanger & Loader	19
Origins and impact of KoRV	Simmons, Meers, Clarke,	
	Young, Jones, Hanger, Loader, & McKee	31
Koala immunology	Higgins, Lau, & Maher	35
Disease in captive Australian koalas	Gillett	39
Molecular characterization of KoRV	Miyazawa	47
European zoo-based koalas	Mulot	51
KoRV in North American zoos	Pye, Zheng, & Switzer	55
Disease at the genomic level	Neil	57
Koala retrovirus variants	Young	59
KoRV epidemiology research priorities	Witte	61
Prevention and treatment of KoRV infe	ction Lifson	65
Immunization with envelope proteins .	Denner	71
Human restriction factors and KoRV	Xu, Blankenship, & Eiden	79
Murine leukemia viruses	Fan	83
KoRV and Chlamydia	Timms	89
The Koala Genome Consortium	Johnson, Hobbs, Eldridge, King,	
Colgan, Wilkins, Chen, I	Prentis, Pavasovic, Polkinghorne, & Timms	91
Anti-retroviral drugs and vaccines	Levy & Lifson	93
Managing the spread of KoRV	Ivy	97
Safety considerations handling KoRV .	Xu & Stoye	99
The future of KoRV research	Pye, Johnson, & Greenwood	103

nature culture discover

Australian Museum science is freely accessible online at http://australianmuseum.net.au/journalfinder 6 College Street, Sydney NSW 2010, Australia



© The Authors, 2014. Journal compilation © Australian Museum, Sydney, 2014 *Technical Reports of the Australian Museum, Online* (2014) No. 24, pp. 35–38. ISSN 1835-4211 (online) http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.1835-4211.24.2014.1611

Koala Immunology and the Koala Retrovirus (KoRV)

DAMIEN P. HIGGINS*, QUINTIN LAU AND IONA MAHER

Koala Infectious Diseases Research Group, Faculty of Veterinary Science, The University of Sydney NSW 2006, Australia damien.higgins@sydney.edu.au

ABSTRACT. Although koala retrovirus (KoRV) is widely termed a pathogen, direct evidence for causation of disease impacts in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) remains elusive. Examination of the immune system of koalas could provide a sharper tool to investigate this but progress has been slow due to a paucity of immunological reagents in this species, and historical contradictions in research findings in this area. Our work using cross reactive antibodies to examine behaviour of resting and stimulated koala T cells (anti-human CD3); B cells (anti-human CD79b); MHCII (anti-human HLA-DP, DQ, DR) and interferon gamma (anti-bovine IFNg) by flow cytometry have revealed some features consistent with a skew to a Th2 (B cell) immune focus. Assessing the role of KoRV in immunomodulation in koalas clearly requires more in-depth research. We have used recent advances in genomics of other marsupials to develop tools necessary to assess KoRV's effects on koala immune function in free-ranging, captive and in-vitro systems.

HIGGINS, DAMIEN P., QUINTIN LAU, AND IONA MAHER. 2014. Koala immunology and the koala retrovirus (KoRV). In *The Koala and its Retroviruses: Implications for Sustainability and Survival*, ed. Geoffrey W. Pye, Rebecca N. Johnson and Alex D. Greenwood. *Technical Reports of the Australian Museum, Online* 24: 35–38.

Immunosuppression in koalas: is it clear-cut?

Early researchers working on koala immunology formed the belief that koalas were immunologically "lazy", and this has coloured perceptions of koala immunology in the broader community ever since. This idea was originally put forward based on apparently slow seroconversion to chlamydial infection, and a limited cellular response to overwhelming sarcoptic mange in a small number of koalas (Brown, 1988). It sparked a series of studies that pioneered marsupial immunology but also set the scene for two decades of intriguingly disparate findings: lymphoid tissues of koalas are generally more sparsely populated than those of many species (Wilkinson et al., 1992a), yet the arrangement of these tissues is consistent with those of eutheria, with similar distribution of T and B cells (Hemsley et al., 1995, 1996a, b); initial experiments indicated slow and weak local cutaneous delayed type hypersensitivity reactions (Wilkinson et al., 1994), yet koalas are clearly capable of mounting prolific lymphoplasmacytic responses, with their inflammatory infiltrates and distribution of B and T

* author for correspondence

lymphocytes in chlamydial disease being very similar to the non-protective, deleterious response to conserved chlamydial heat shock proteins that induces pelvic inflammatory disease in humans (Hemsley & Canfield, 1997; Morrison, 1991). Similarly, in contrast to poor antibody responses described initially (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1992b; Wilkinson *et al.*, 1994), recent vaccine trials induced strong humoral and cellular responses (Carey *et al.*, 2010; Kollipara *et al.*, 2012). Also, in response to natural *Chlamydia pecorum* infection, koalas develop neutralizing anti-MOMP antibodies (Girges *et al.*, 1993), and also develop high anti-hsp60 and hsp10 antibody titres in association with chlamydial reproductive tract fibrosis (Higgins *et al.*, 2005), as do women similarly affected by *C. trachomatis* (Domeika *et al.*, 1998; LaVerda *et al.*, 2000).

Clearly, we have evidence of outcomes of a functional adaptive immune response in the koala. However, in terms of its strengths and weaknesses, and the evolutionary forces that have shaped it, we are just beginning to scrape the surface. KoRV as a potential immunosuppressive agent needs to be considered in the context of a range of forces

and trade-offs shaping a co-evolutionary relationship between a host, its environment and a range of potential pathogens. Many diseases of koalas are shared across other marsupials, as might be expected, based on the shared environments in which they evolved and now inhabit; Cryptococcus gatti invasion occurs in immunocompetent hosts of many species (Krockenberger et al., 2003); a range of marsupial species show tendency to disseminated mycobacterial infection (as do badgers and ferrets) (Buddle & Young, 2000); and wombats are also highly susceptible to sarcoptic mange (Skerratt, 2005). At the same time, it would not be surprising to find species- or even habitat-specific weaknesses or strengths in the koala immune response. In theory, if *Chlamydia pecorum* were a European introduction, a relatively non-gregarious species on a lean energy and nutrient budget, such as the koala, might (or might not) have evolved a more limited investment in adaptive immunity than that needed to protect against contagious pathogens in a more social species. As another hypothetical example on a finer scale, those koala populations needing serological defence against the paralysis tick (Ixodes holocyclus) toxin (i.e. in coastal regions of Queensland and New South Wales, where chlamydial disease is also generally most common) might benefit from a strong antibody-based (Th2) immunity, in a trade-off, at the expense of the cellular (Th1) response considered critical to elimination of *Chlamydia*. When laid over the impact of a history of hunting, regional translocation and habitat fragmentation on immune gene (MHCII) diversity (Lau et al., 2013, 2014); the likelihood of some MHCII variants being associated with survival and chlamydial disease (Lau, 2013); and diversity of chlamydial strains among and within koala populations (Higgins et al., 2012; Marsh et al., 2011); it becomes evident that we are dealing with a complex system. This highlights the need for integrated studies including both eco-immunological and epidemiological studies in free-ranging animals in a variety of populations and disease states, and exploration of pathogenic mechanisms in more controllable captive or in vitro systems.

Is KoRV immunomodulatory in koalas? Do we have enough data?

Associations with infectious disease are equivocal (Simmons, 2011; Tarlinton et al., 2005), though this might be due to the multi-factorial nature of disease, especially in populations of free-ranging animals. The most direct evidence for immunomodulatory effects of KoRV comes from the effect of purified KoRV on cytokine expression by cultured human PBMCs, whereby it induced elevated expression of interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-10, growth-related oncogene (GRO) and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) (Fiebig et al., 2006). This is consistent with the highly conserved nature of the retroviral transmembrane envelope protein p15E, or immunosuppressive domain (ISD), across KoRV, GALV, MuLV and FeLV (Fiebig et al., 2006); and the wide range of its effects on cells of other species in vitro, including: inhibition of respiratory burst and chemotaxis of the human monocyte; inhibition

of macrophage accumulation at inflammatory foci in mice; suppression of neutrophil function; inhibition of human natural killer cell activity; inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation and mitogenic cytokine production; and increased production of interleukin-10 (IL-10) (Denner, 1998). Whether these effects occur in koalas and whether these have significant downstream effects in this species has not yet been tested.

Due to constant pathogen-driven selection, immune molecules are among the least conserved between species. Our ability to examine koala immune profiles has, therefore, been limited to detection of antibodies, lymphocyte proliferation assays, immunophenotyping by flow cytometry with a limited number of cross-reactive antibodies to conserved (mostly intra-cytoplasmic) domains (T cell, anti-human CD3; B cell, anti-human CD79b; MHCII, anti-human HLA-DP, DQ, DR; IFNg) (Higgins et al., 2004; Lau et al., 2012) and, very recently, qPCR for IL10, IFNg and TNFa (Mathew et al., 2013a; 2013b). Our recent immunophenotypic studies on captive, KoRV-positive koalas (Lau et al., 2012) revealed some interesting features: elevated numbers of B cells relative to other species (1.0- 4.9×10^6 cells/ml vs 0.17–0.56×10⁶ cells/ml, respectively), and absence of MHCII expression on stimulated and nonstimulated T cells. Both Concavalin A (ConA) and Pokeweed Mitogen (PWM) induced MHCII up-regulation in koala B cells but not T cells; in contrast to the marked (e.g., 50–90%) MHCII expression on T cells of all other species studied to date, but mice (Byrne et al., 2000; Rideout et al., 1992; Schwartz et al., 2005; Holling et al., 2004). Ability of koala T cells to respond to mitogens was evident, in that PWM induced proliferation of T and B cells and ConA induced preferential proliferation of T cells in our study and, in our previous study, 14% of PMA-Ionomicin stimulated koala lymphocytes labelled strongly with cross-reactive anti-IFNg antibodies (Higgins et al., 2004). Increased B cell numbers and absence of T cell MHCII expression would be consistent with retrovirus-associated increased Th2 profile (Denner, 1998; Haraguchi, 2008). However, it could alternatively reflect an evolutionary adaptation within the koala's immune response and this phenomenon needs to be examined in more detail KoRV positive and negative koalas from a range of habitats and disease states.

Where to now: testing the effects of KoRV on koala immune function

By using available sequence from non-koala marsupial genomes (common opossum *Monodelphis domestica*, tammar wallaby *Macropus eugenii*, Tasmanian devil *Sarcophilus harrisii*, common brushtail possum *Trichosurus vulpecula*) (Morris *et al.*, 2010) we have recently generated koala sequence and developed and validated a series of koala-specific qPCRs for immune genes CD4, CD8, IL-10, IL-4, IFNg, IL-6 and several reference genes (Maher *et al.*, 2014). We are applying these to our collections of samples from KoRV positive and negative free-ranging koalas, and cells of captive koalas in *in vitro* studies to better describe normal and abnormal immune function in these koalas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Koala MHCII studies were supported by the Hermon Slade Foundation (Higgins, Krockenberger and Belov) and Taronga Zoo (Zoological Parks Board NSW), and studies of KoRV immunology are supported by the Australian Research Council and Australia Zoo (Meers, Young and Higgins).

References

- Brown, A. S. 1988. The health of Australia's koalas: more research is needed urgently. *Medical Journal of Australia* 149: 662–664.
- Buddle, B. M., and L. J. Young. 2000. Immunobiology of mycobacterial infections in marsupials. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 24: 517–529. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(00)00014-8
- Byrne, K. M., H. W. Kim, B. P. Chew, G. A. Reinhart, and M. G. Hayek. 2000. A standardized gating technique for the generation of flow cytometry data for normal canine and normal feline blood lymphocytes. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 73(2): 167–182.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(99)00163-4

- Carey, A. J., P. Timms, G. Rawlinson, J. Brumm, K. Nilsson, J. M. Harris, and K. W. Beagley. 2010. A multi-subunit chlamydial vaccine induces antibody and cell-mediated immunity in immunized koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*): comparison of three different adjuvants. *American Journal of Reproduction and Immunology* 63(2): 161–172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.2009.00776.x
- Denner, J. 1998. Immunosuppression by retroviruses: implications for xenotransplantation. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 862: 75–86.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09119.x
- Domeika, M., K. Domeika, J. Paavonen, P. A. Mardh, and S. S. Witkin. 1998. Humoral immune response to conserved epitopes of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and human 60-kDa heat-shock protein in women with pelvic inflammatory disease. *Journal* of *Infectious Diseases* 177: 714–719. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/514218
- Fiebig, U., M. G. Hartmann, N. Bannert, R. Kurth, and J. Denner. 2006. Transspecies transmission of the endogenous koala retrovirus. *Journal of Virology* 80(11): 5651–5654 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02597-05
- Girjes, A. A., W. A. Ellis, F. N. Carrick, and M. F. Lavin. 1993. Some aspects of the immune response of koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) and in vitro neutralization of *Chlamydia psittaci* (koala strains). *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* 6: 21–30.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.1993.tb00299.x

- Haraguchi, S., R. A. Good, and N. K. Day-Good. 2008. A potent immunosuppressive retroviral peptide: cytokine patterns and signaling pathways. *Immunology Research* 41: 46–55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12026-007-0039-6
- Hemsley, S., P. J. Canfield, and A. J. Husband. 1995. Immunohistological staining of lymphoid tissue in four Australian marsupial species using species cross-reactive antibodies. *Immunology and Cell Biology* 73(4): 321–325. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/icb.1995.49
- Hemsley, S., P. J. Canfield, and A. J. Husband. 1996a. The distribution of organised lymphoid tissue in the alimentary tracts of koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) and possums (*Trichosurus* vulpecula and *Pseudocheirus peregrinus*). Journal of Anatomy 188: 269–278.
- Hemsley, S., P. J. Canfield, and A. J. Husband. 1996b. Histological and immunohistological investigation of alimentary tract lymphoid tissue in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*), brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) and ringtail possum (*Pseudocheirus peregrinus*). Journal of Anatomy 188: 279–288.
- Hemsley, S., and P. J. Canfield. 1997. Histopathological and immunohistochemical investigation of naturally occurring chlamydial conjunctivitis and urogenital inflammation in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). Journal of Comparative Pathology 116: 273–290.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9975(97)80003-5

Higgins, D. P., S. Hemsley, and P. J. Canfield. 2004. Assessment of anti-bovine IL4 and IFN gamma antibodies to label IL4 and IFN gamma in lymphocytes of the koala and brushtail possum. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 101: 153–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.04.014

- Higgins, D. P., S. Hemsley, and P. J. Canfield, 2005. Association of uterine and salpingeal fibrosis with chlamydial hsp60 and hsp10 antigen-specific antibodies in *Chlamydia*-infected koalas. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology* 12(5): 632–639.
- Higgins, D. P., T. Beninati, M. Meek, J. Irish, and J. E. Griffith. 2012. Within-population diversity of koala *Chlamydophila pecorum* at ompA VD1–VD3 and the ORF663 hypothetical gene. *Veterinary Microbiology* 156: 353–358. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.11.005
- Holling, T. M., E. Schooten, and P. J. van Den Elsen. 2004. Function and regulation of MHC class II molecules in T-lymphocytes: of mice and men. *Human Immunology* 65: 282–290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humimm.2004.01.005
- Kollipara, A., C. George, J. Hanger, J. Loader, A. Polkinghorne, K. Beagley, and P. Timms. 2012. Vaccination of healthy and diseased koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) with a *Chlamydia pecorum* multi-subunit vaccine: evaluation of immunity and pathology. *Vaccine* 30(10): 1875–1885. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.12.125
- Krockenberger, M. B., P. J. Canfield, and R. Malik. 2003. *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. gattii in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*): a review of 43 cases of cryptococcosis. *Medical Mycology* 41: 225–234. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/369378031000137242
- Lau, Q., P. J. Canfield, and D. P. Higgins. 2012. Expression and in vitro upregulation of MHCII in koala lymphocytes. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 147: 35–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.04.010
- Lau, Q., S. Jobbins, K. Belov, and D. P. Higgins. 2013. Characterisation of four major histocompatibility complex class II genes of the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *Immunogenetics* 65(1): 37–46.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00251-012-0658-5

Lau, Q., W. Jaratlerdsiri, J. E. Griffith, J. Gongora, and D. P. Higgins. 2014. MHC class II diversity of koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) populations across their range. *Heredity* 2014/04/02/ online

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.30

- Lau, Q. 2013. Diversity and Expression of MHC Class II in koalas (Phascolarctos cinereus). PhD thesis, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.
- LaVerda, D., L. N. Albanese, P. E. Ruther, S. G. Morrison, R. P. Morrison, K. A. Ault, and G. I. Byrne. 2000. Seroreactivity to *Chlamydia trachomatis* Hsp10 correlates with severity of human genital tract disease. *Infection and Immunity* 68: 303–309. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.1.303-309.2000
- Maher, I. E., J. E. Griffith, Q. Lau, T. Reeves, and D. P. Higgins. 2014. Expression profiles of the immune genes CD4, CD8β, IFNγ, IL-4, IL-6 and IL-10 in mitogen-stimulated koala lymphocytes (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) by qRT-PCR. *PeerJ* 2:e280.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.280

- Marsh, J., A. Kollipara, P. Timms, and A. Polkinghorne. 2011. Novel molecular markers of *Chlamydia pecorum* genetic diversity in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *BMC Microbiology* 11: 77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-77
- Mathew, M., K. W. Beagley, P. Timms, and A. Polkinghorne. 2013a. Preliminary characterisation of tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-10 responses to *Chlamydia pecorum* infection in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *PLoSOne* 8(3): e59958. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059958
- Mathew, M., A. Pavasovic, P. J. Prentis, K. W. Beagley, P. Timms, and A. Polkinghorne. 2013b. Molecular characterisation and expression analysis of Interferon gamma in response to natural *Chlamydia* infection in the koala, *Phascolarctos cinereus*. *Gene* 527: 570–577.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.06.019

- Morris, K., E. S. W. Wong, and K. Belov. 2010. Use of genomic information to gain insights into immune function in marsupials: a review of divergent immune genes. In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*, ed. J.E. Deakin *et al.*, pp. 381–400. Springer Science. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9023-2_18
- Morrison, R. P. 1991. Chlamydial hsp60 and the immunopathogenesis of chlamydial disease. *Seminars in Immunology* 3: 25–33.
- Rideout, B. A., P. F. Moore, and N. C. Pedersen. 1992. Persistent upregulation of MHC Class II antigen expression on T-lymphocytes from cats experimentally infected with feline immunodeficiency virus. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 35(1–2): 71–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-2427(92)90122-7
- Schwartz, J., B. Aldridge, M. Blanchard, F. C. Mohr, and J. Stott. 2005. The development of methods for immunophenotypic and lymphocyte function analyzes for assessment of southern sea otter (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) health. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 104(1–2): 1–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.06.005
- Simmons, G. S. 2011. The Epidemiology and Pathogenesis of Koala Retrovirus. PhD thesis, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.

- Skerratt, L. F. 2005. Sarcoptes scabiei: an important exotic pathogen of wombats. Microbiology Australia 26: 78–81.
- Tarlinton, R. E., J. Meers, J. Hanger, and P. R. Young. 2005. Real-time reverse transcriptase PCR for the endogenous koala retrovirus reveals an association between plasma viral load and neoplastic disease in koalas. *Journal of General Virology* 86: 783–787.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.80547-0

- Wilkinson, R., I. Kotlarski, and M. Barton. 1992a. Koala lymphoid cells: analysis of antigen-specific responses. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 33: 237–247. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-2427(92)90184-R
- Wilkinson, R., I. Kotlarski, M. Barton, and P. Phillips. 1992b. Isolation of koala lymphoid cells and their in-vitro response to mitogens. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 31: 21–33.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-2427(92)90084-4

Wilkinson, R., I. Kotlarski, and M. Barton. 1994. Further characterisation of the immune response of the koala. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 40: 325–339. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-2427(94)90043-4